Roy Cooper, Governor Erik A. Hooks, Secretary W. David Guice, Chief Deputy Secretary William L. Lassiter, Deputy Secretary

MEMORANDUM

TO: Chairs of Senate Appropriations Subcommittee on Justice and Public Safety

Chairs of House of Representatives Appropriations Subcommittee on Justice and

Public Safety

FROM: Erik A. Hooks, Secretary

W. David Guice, Chief Deputy Secretary

RE: Alternatives to Commitment Report

DATE: March 1, 2017

Pursuant to S.L. 2005-276, 16.11(c), The Division of Juvenile Justice of the Department of Public Safety shall report to the Senate and House of Representatives Appropriations Subcommittees on Justice and Public Safety no later than March 1, 2006, and annually thereafter, on the results of the alternatives to commitment demonstration programs funded by Section 16.7 of S.L. 2004-124. The 2007 report and all annual reports thereafter shall also include projects funded by Section 16.11 of S.L. 2005-276 for the 2005-2006 fiscal year. Specifically, the report shall provide a detailed description of each of the demonstration programs, including the numbers of juveniles served, their adjudication status at the time of service, the services/treatments provided, the length of service, the total cost per juvenile, and the six- and 12-month recidivism rates for the juveniles after the termination of program services. (1998-202, s. 1(b); 2000-137, s. 1(b); 2005-276, s. 16.11(c); 2011-145, s. 19.1(l), (x), (ggg).)



Alternatives to Commitment Programs Annual Evaluation Report March 2017

Session Law 2004-124, Section 16.7

Submitted by:
Department of Public Safety
Division of Adult Correction and Juvenile Justice
Juvenile Community Programs Section

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report is required by Session Law 2004-124, Section 16.7 to provide alternatives to juvenile commitment services through the Juvenile Crime Prevention Councils. This report focuses on the youth served in programs for FY 2015-2016 that delivered services to youth in Level III disposition (commitment), youth in Level II disposition (intermediate) who were at risk of a Level III disposition, and youth reentering the community after youth development center commitment (post-release supervision). In FY 2015-2016, the General Assembly allocated \$750,000 for these services.

Statewide, the Alternatives to Commitment Programs delivered somewhat similar intensive case management services that "wrapped services around" the juvenile and family. Typical services included home-based family counseling, individual counseling, tutoring, interpersonal skill-building, behavior management, cognitive behavior training and mentoring. Projects coordinated a 24 hour a day, 7 days per week adult supervision plan for each Level III youth. Program providers and court counselors supported and planned for youth as they integrated into the community. The programs also managed referrals to a variety of other community services including such education programs as structured day, after-school programming, and tutoring. On occasion, court counselors used electronic monitoring as a support for supervision of youth.

Alternatives to Commitment Programs served 152 youth and exits from the programs totaled 122 during FY 2015-2016. Of the 122 youth who exited the programs in FY 2015-2016, 103 youth completed the program meeting the goals of the program with a high or acceptable level of participation and achievement of behavior improvement goals.

For FY 2015-2016, the average annual cost (based on actual expenditures) per youth in Alternatives to Commitment Programs was \$5,292 while the average annual cost per youth in a youth development center was \$122,445.

This report is in response to the legislation and provides a description of the programs, the number of youth served, their adjudication status at the time of service, services and treatments provided, the length of service, the total cost per youth, and the six (6) and twelve (12) month recidivism rates for youth after the termination of program services. In this report, data support the need for the continued development and delivery of Alternatives to Commitment Programs at the local level to address unmet gaps in the continuum of services within the communities.

Juvenile Crime Prevention Council Alternatives to Commitment Programs

Project Background

Session Law 2004-124, Section 16.7 made available \$750,000 to establish community programs for youth who otherwise would be placed in a youth development center. This legislation required that funded programs provide residential and/or community-based intensive services to juveniles who have been adjudicated delinquent and have been given a Level III or Level II disposition or juveniles who are re-entering the community after receiving commitment programming in a youth development center. Data since the implementation of services since FY 2004-2005 confirm that intensive case management that provides wrap-around services to the juvenile and family continue to be effective and cost-efficient programs. Programs funded in FY 2015-2016 as Alternatives to Commitment continued to provide those services.

By statute, there are three disposition levels for adjudicated youth in North Carolina: Level I, Community Disposition; Level II, Intermediate Disposition; and Level III, Commitment. The intent of the 2004 legislation was that programs be established to serve youth who were at either a Level II or Level III disposition.

Program Data

The following tables provide detailed data of the eleven (11) Alternatives to Commitment Programs funded in FY 2015-2016. These tables include the number of youth served, adjudication status at the time of service, the services/treatments provided, average length of service, total cost per youth, status when exiting the program, living arrangements after exit, and the six (6) and twelve (12) month recidivism rates. The projects are identified by the host county.

Youth Served and Adjudication Status

In FY 2015-2016 projects served a total of 152 youth. Table 1 below identifies the number of youth served and their adjudication status at admission.

Table 1. Youth Served and Adjudication Status

Host County	Petition Filed	Adjudicated Delinquent Disposition Pending	Probation	Commitment	Post Release Supervision	Total
Burke	0	0	4	1	5	10
Cumberland	0	0	7	0	7	14
Dare	0	0	6	0	3	9
Davidson	0	2	16	0	1	19
Durham	2	0	8	3	0	13
Guilford	0	0	2	0	2	4
New Hanover	0	0	4	0	9	13
Onslow	0	0	27	0	0	27
Rockingham	0	0	21	0	1	22
Wake	0	0	12	0	1	13
Wayne	0	0	4	2	2	8
Total	2	2	111	6	31	152

Services and Treatments Provided

Through the development of program agreements, the program providers worked to match the services they provided to services that are identified through research to be characteristic of effective services. Statewide, the programs delivered somewhat similar intensive case management services that "wrapped services around" the youth and family. Typical services included family counseling, individual counseling, tutoring, mentoring, interpersonal skill-building, behavioral management, cognitive behavioral training and mentoring. Projects coordinated a 24 hour a day, 7 days per week adult supervision plan for each Level III youth. Program providers and court counselors supported and planned for youth as they integrated into the community. On occasion, court counselors used electronic monitoring as a support for supervision of youth.

Table 2 describes the services and treatments provided by the Alternatives to Commitment Programs in FY 2015-2016. The host county, sponsoring agency, the counties receiving services, and the number of youth who could be served at one time (capacity) are identified.

Table 2. Program Services and Treatments

Host County			
(Sponsoring	Counties		
Agency)	Served	Services Provided (includes 24/7 staff availability)	Capacity
		Program Type: Parent/Family Skill Building	
		Through the use of evidence based Home Remedies family-centered home	
		visitation, the goals of the program are to increase parenting skills by	
Burke		teaching parents behavior management skills/techniques, communication	
(Barium	Burke,	skills, limit setting, how to establish expectations, behavior contracting and	
Springs Home	Caldwell and	how to avoid power struggles. Youth goals include increasing the youth's	
for Children)	Catawba	ability to learn, master, and use social skills and life skills.	2
		Program Type: Parent/Family Skill Building	
		The ISN program works intensively with the highest risk offenders to	
		address family management problems; chronic delinquency and develop	
Cumberland		moral reasoning skills and accountability for all youth served. Services are	
(Cumberland		prioritized for commitment-level, level II, level III eligible and PRS youth.	
County		ISN creates an individualized treatment plan that provides community	
Communicare,		commitment, accountability-based sanctions as well as therapeutic and	_
Inc.)	Cumberland	specific skill building options for these highest risk/needs youth.	5
		Program Type: Home Based Family Counseling	
		Primary services are family/individual counseling with intensive case	
		management services focusing on family interactions/dynamics linked to	
D (D		delinquent behavior and interpersonal skill building through Cognitive	
Dare (Dare		Behavior Therapy provided in home, school and community. Collaboration	
County	Dame	with stakeholders to support client in meeting treatment goals and referring	_
Schools) Davidson	Dare	client/family to other services are also a focus.	5
(Family		Program Type: Mentoring This program provides professional mentoring services to Level III and	
Services of		Level II youth who are most at-risk of commitment to a YDC in Davidson	
Davidson		County. The program offers behavioral contracting and mixed counseling	
County, Inc.)	Davidson	as supplementary services, as needed.	8
County, Inc.)	Buviason	Program Type: Home Based Family Counseling	0
		The Parenting of Adolescents program provides evidence-based	
Durham		Multidimensional Family Therapy to Juvenile Court referred Level III	
(Exchange		youth re-entering the community, high risk Level II youth who are most at	
Clubs' Child		risk of a commitment to a YDC, and Level III or Level II youth re-entering	
Abuse		the community from an out of home placement. Therapy with the youth and	
Prevention		the parent/caregiver begins 2 months prior to the youth's return to the	
Center)	Durham	community and continues 4 months after their return to the community.	8
,		Program Type: Vocational Skills	
		Eckerd Project Bridge provides vocational credentialing, job placement and	
		related support through community linkages. Services are provided to	
		approximately 18 Guilford County youth classified as Level III returning	
		from residential placement or Level II at risk of residential placement.	
Guilford		Youth will receive certifications in SafeStaff food handling credential	
(CARING for		and/or Customer Service Credential. Remedial academic assistance will be	
Children, Inc.)	Guilford	facilitated through the school system as needed by youth.	12

Host County			
(Sponsoring	Counties		
Agency)	Served	Services Provided (includes 24/7 staff availability)	Capacity
<u> </u>		Program Type: Home Based Family Counseling	
		The Homebuilders model is an Evidence Based Program that is highly	
		successful at reducing out of home placement and providing concrete	
		support in times of crisis. This program will eliminate existing service gaps	
		in the current continuum of care, while additionally diversifying family	
New Hanover		centered treatment. The model requires caseloads to remain on average at 2	
(Coastal		families with ten hours of treatment per week completed by one	
Horizons	New	professional. Services are rendered in the home or community for 4-6	
Center, Inc.)	Hanover	weeks.	2
Onslow		Program Type: Home Based Family Counseling	
(Onslow		Provides intensive in-home social work and other needed services in	
County		Onslow and Sampson County for Level III juveniles committed to a Youth	
Government		Development Center or Level II juveniles that are at-risk for commitment	
(DSS) - Youth	Onslow,	and their families including alternative education, counseling, residential	
Services)	Sampson	services, and social/life skills.	8
		Program Type: Home Based Family Counseling	
		Program provides home-based family counseling, Cognitive Behavioral	
		Therapy, parenting classes and therapeutic enrichment to male and female	
Da alain alaan		Level III youth and/or Level II youth ages 10-17 referred by Juvenile Court	
Rockingham	Da alain aham	in need of Level II services in Rockingham, Stokes and Surry Counties.	
(Rockingham	Rockingham, Stokes, and	Program utilizes evidence-based programs including Cognitive Behavioral	
County Youth Services)	Surry	Therapy, Brief Strategic Family Therapy and Strengthening Families curriculum.	8
Services)	Surry		0
		Program Type: Vocational Skills	
		Eckerd Project Bridge will provide vocational credentialing, job placement	
		and related support through community linkages. Services will be provided	
		to 36 Wake youth classified as Level III returning from residential	
Wake		placement or Level II at risk of residential placement. Youth will receive certifications in SafeStaff food handling credential and/or Customer Service	
(CARING for		Credential. Remedial academic assistance will be facilitated through the	
Children, Inc.)	Wake	school system as needed by youth.	12
Cimarcii, inc.)	vv ake	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	12
		Program Type: Home Based Family Counseling This program serves youth between the ages 6-17, and their families, who	
		are either currently in a Youth Development Center (Level III) or most at-	
		risk of placement in a YDC (Level II). All referrals are made by the	
Wayne		juvenile court services office. Weekly visits to the home are provided and	
(Methodist	Greene,	families are encouraged in identifying their strengths and weaknesses.	
Home for	Lenoir and	Parents are taught effective skills in communication and conflict resolution	
Children)	Wayne	to increase the family's functioning.	2

Length of Service

Alternatives to Commitment Programs continued to serve youth who were high risk and in need of intensive interventions for a considerable length of time. Table 3 illustrates youth being served by a program for an average length of stay ranging from 30 days to 371 days. The statewide average length of stay was 145 days.

Table 3. Days in Program

Host County	Average Length of Stay	Number of Terminations
Burke	90	9
Cumberland	136	11
Dare	371	8
Davidson	207	14
Durham	120	7
Guilford	116	4
New Hanover	30	13
Onslow	175	20
Rockingham	109	16
Wake	137	13
Wayne	121	7
Average	145	122

Program Cost

As legislatively mandated, no one program received more than \$100,000 of DACJJ funds. Table 4 illustrates the total youth served, actual program expenditures, and annual cost for FY 2015-2016 which averaged \$5,292 per youth. One program (in Burke county) spent additional funds that were secured by the sponsoring agency from other funding sources.

Table 4. Program Cost

Host County (Program Type)	Total Youth Served	Actual Expenditure	Cost Per Youth
Burke (Parent/Family Skill Building)	10	\$115,091	\$11,509
Cumberland (Parent/Family Skill Building)	14	\$74,074	\$5,291
Dare (Home Based Family Counseling)	9	\$15,408	\$1,712
Davidson (Mentoring)	19	\$84,001	\$4,421
Durham (Home Based Family Counseling)	13	\$99,924	\$7,686
Guilford (Vocational Skills)	4	\$46,368	\$11,592
New Hanover (Home Based Family Counseling)	13	\$79,395	\$6,107
Onslow (Home Based Family Counseling)	27	\$94,093	\$3,485
Rockingham (Home Based Family Counseling)	22	\$69,442	\$3,156
Wake (Vocational Skills)	13	\$46,368	\$3,567
Wayne (Home Based Family Counseling)	8	\$80,232	\$10,029
Total	152	\$804,397	\$5,292

Exit from Program

Table 5 illustrates the 122 youth who exited the programs in FY 2015-2016. One hundred and three youth (84%) completed their programming at a high or acceptable level of participation and achievement of behavior improvement goals. Program completion was categorized as successful, satisfactory, unsuccessful, or non-compliance.

Table 5. Status of Youth at Exit

	Successful	Satisfactory	Unsuccessful	Non-	
County	Completion	Completion	Completion	compliance	Total
Burke	8	0	1	0	9
Cumberland	8	2	1	0	11
Dare	4	4	0	0	8
Davidson	4	8	2	0	14
Durham	0	4	3	0	7
Guilford	2	0	2	0	4
New Hanover	7	5	0	1	13
Onslow	13	4	1	2	20
Rockingham	11	2	1	2	16
Wake	10	2	1	0	13
Wayne	3	2	1	1	7
Total	70	33	13	6	122

Table 6 illustrates the living arrangements for those 122 youth upon exit from the program which shows 111 youth (91%) were living in the community with their parent(s) or guardian; four youth (3.3%) were in a treatment facility; and seven youth (5.7%) were in a youth development center, detention or county jail.

Table 6. Youth Living Arrangement at Exit

County	At Home with Parent(s) or Guardian	Treatment Facility	YDC/Detention/County Jail	Total
Burke	8	0	1	9
Cumberland	11	0	0	11
Dare	8	0	0	8
Davidson	14	0	0	14
Durham	5	0	2	7
Guilford	4	0	0	4
New Hanover	13	0	0	13
Onslow	17	3	0	20
Rockingham	15	1	0	16
Wake	13	0	0	13
Wayne	3	0	4	7
Total	111	4	7	122

Recidivism

Table 7 illustrates youth who exited the projects during the past two fiscal years (FY 2014-2015 and 2015-2016) and incurred additional delinquent complaints in the juvenile justice system.

Table 7. Recidivism Measure 1

Youth Receiving an Additional Juvenile Complaint Post-Discharge				
	0 to 6	0 to 12		
Measure	Months	Months		
Distinct Youth who had at Least 6 or 12 Months in the Community	188	125		
Distinct Youth with Additional Delinquent Complaints	13	16		
Percentage of Youth with Additional Delinquent Complaints 7% 13%				

Table 8 below shows the percentage of youth of the two-year sample who recidivated by receiving a juvenile adjudication or adult conviction post-discharge from the programs.

Table 8. Recidivism Measure 2

Youth Receiving a Juvenile Adjudication or Adult Conviction Post-Discharge				
Measure	0 to 6 Months	0 to 12 Months		
Distinct Youth who had at Least 6 or 12 Months in the Community	188	125		
Distinct Youth with Juvenile Delinquent Adjudications	11	14		
Percentage of Youth with Delinquent Adjudications	6%	11%		
Distinct Youth with Adult Convictions	14	16		
Percentage of Youth with Adult Convictions	7%	13%		
Distinct Youth with Juvenile Adjudication(s) or Adult Conviction(s)	25	30		
Juvenile Adjudications + Adult Convictions	13%	24%		

In comparison, according to the most recent NC Sentencing and Policy Advisory Commission's (SPAC) *Juvenile Recidivism Study: Sample FY 2010/11*, 36.0% of juveniles who were both adjudicated and disposed received an additional adjudication or conviction within 36 months. Although the time span for the SPAC's study was longer than the recidivism study conducted by the Department, the SPAC recidivism study shows that the average juvenile will recidivate within the first 12 months.

Summary and Conclusion

Alternatives to Commitment Programs served high-risk youth who were in need of intensive interventions to be successfully served in the community. Without the programs these youth may have been served in a more costly youth development center. Noteworthy outcomes of the programs are:

- Ninety-four percent (94.3%) of the youth exiting the projects were in a non-secure living arrangement while only five percent (5.7%) of the youth exiting the projects were committed to a youth development center or were placed in county jail.
- Eighty-four percent (84%) of the youth exiting the projects completed their programming at a high or acceptable level of participation and achievement of behavior improvement goals.
- Thirteen percent (13%) of the distinct juveniles who could be followed for a full 6 months post-discharge received a delinquent adjudication or an adult conviction while 24% received a delinquent adjudication or an adult conviction at 12 months post discharge.
- The average cost per youth in the Alternatives for Commitment Programs was \$5,292 while the average annual cost per youth in a youth development center was \$122,445. The data indicate that Alternatives to Commitment Programs continue to be effective and cost-efficient programs that develop and deliver programming for committed youth at the local level while addressing unmet gaps in the continuum of services within communities.